|  |
| --- |
| **Assessor Notes: Please read the COOPERATION PARTNERSHIP application for your ALLOCATED FIELD and make some notes to help with discussion during the Training for Erasmus+ Assessors. These notes will not be submitted so use the language or style you prefer.** |
| **ASSESSMENT CRITERIA: RELEVANCE** | **STRENGTHS** | **WEAKNESSES** |
| The extent to which the proposal is relevant for OBJECTIVES AND PRIORITIES of the Action: proposal is considered highly relevant if it addresses the priority for INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY. |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal is relevant for respect and promotion of shared EU values (human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, rule of law, human rights) and fighting discrimination. |  |  |
| The extent to which the profile, experience and activities of the PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS are relevant for the field of the application. |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal is based on a genuine and adequate NEEDS ANALYSIS. |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal is suitable for creating SYNERGIES between different fields of education, training, youth and sport or has potentially a strong impact on one or more of those fields. |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal is INNOVATIVE. |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal is complementary to other initiatives already carried out by the participating organisations. |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal brings added value at EU level through results that would not be attained by activities carried out in a single country. |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCORE FOR RELEVANCE (OUT OF 25)** |  |
| **Assessor Notes: Please read the COOPERATION PARTNERSHIP application for your ALLOCATED FIELD and make some notes to help with discussion during the Training for Erasmus+ Assessors. These notes will not be submitted so use the language or style you prefer.** |
| **ASSESSMENT CRITERIA: PARTNERSHIP** | **STRENGTHS** | **WEAKNESSES** |
| The extent to which the project involves an APPROPRIATE MIX of participating organisations in terms of PROFILE, including grassroots organisations, PAST EXPERIENCE in the Programme and EXPERTISE to successfully complete all project objectives; |  |  |
| The extent to which the project involves NEWCOMERS AND LESS EXPERIENCED ORGANISATIONS to the Action |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposed ALLOCATION OF TASKS demonstrates the commitment and active contribution of all participating organisations; |  |  |
| The extent to which the proposal includes effective mechanisms for COORDINATION and COMMUNICATION between the participating organisations, as well as with other relevant stakeholders. |  |  |
| [If applicable] the extent to which the involvement of a participating organisation from a THIRD COUNTRY NOT ASSOCIATED TO THE PROGRAMME brings an essential added value to the project (if condition is not fulfilled, the third country organisation will be excluded). |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCORE FOR QUALITY OF PARTNERSHIP (OUT OF 20)** |  |